	UNITED STATES PATEN	t and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION N	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,396	03/30/2004	Jurgen Focke		1753
JURGEN	7590 09/25/200°	7	EXAM	INER
LISSABO	NALLEE 11		NGUYEN	, LONG P
BERLIN, GERMAI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ODIANI I		•	2616	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,396	FOCKE, JURGEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Long P. Nguyen	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/2005, 4/21/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-9 and 13-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piponius et al (US 2002/0138601, hereinafter, Piponius) in view of Lowe et al. (US 6,539,082, Hereinafter, Lowe).

As for claim 1, Piponius shows a communication terminal (Figure 1, MS) connected with a service user sends a first service request message [0050] to a service computer (Figure 1, CS) which provides the chargeable service [0048] and is connected to the telecommunication network (Figure 1), the first service request message [0050] is received and detained by an intermediate node [Figure 1, GW] in the telecommunication network which (intermediate node) is arranged, in relation to the flow of messages, between the communication terminal (KEG) and the service computer [0049-0050] intermediate node (ZK) prompts a second service request message (DAN2) [0048], relating to the chargeable service [0051], to be created and transmitted to a routing service computer (D-DR) which has an associated individual identifier (IP2) [0048, e.g. 194.197.118.20], the routing service computer (D-DR) requests (DAN2) the chargeable service from the service computer (DR) [0053], the routing service computer (D-DR) then receives a service message (DN) from the service computer [0053], the routing service computer (D-DR) transfers the service

Art Unit: 2616

message (DN) together with the identifier (IP2) for the routing service computer to the communication terminal (KEG) [0057], but Piponius does not show an exchange (V) which is arranged, in relation to the flow of messages, between the communication terminal (KEG) and the routing service computer (D-DR) identifies from the identifier (IP2) that chargeable service use is involved, and the exchange (V) then creates a charge message (GN) relating to the service use and to the service user (KEG). However, Lowe show an exchange (V) (Col. 4 line 34, SMA), which is arranged, in relation to the flow of messages, between the communication terminal (KEG) (Figure 4 Operator #35) and the routing service computer (D-DR) (Figure 4 SSP #9) identifies from the identifier (IP2) that chargeable service use is involved (Figure 4), and the exchange (V) then creates a charge message (GN) relating to the service use and to the service user (KEG) (Col. 5 line 34-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Charge system of Piponius with the exchange of Lowe in order to calculate the customer's bill and then presents the results to the operator.

As for claim 2, Piponius shows the intermediate node (ZK) prompts the second service request message (DAN2), relating to the chargeable service, to be created and transmitted to the routing service computer (D-DR) [0048] by virtue of the intermediate node (ZK) returning a readdressing message (UAN) to the communication terminal (KEG) [0047], with the readdressing message (UAN) containing the identifier (IP2) for the routing service computer (D-DR) [0047], and the communication terminal (KEG)

Art Unit: 2616

taking the readdressing message (UAN) as a basis for creating the second service request message (DAN2) and sending it to the routing service computer (D-DR) [0047].

As for claim 3, Piponius shows receipt of the first service request message (DAN1) by the intermediate node (ZK) is followed by the intermediate node using the first service request message (DAN1) to ascertain whether the requested service is chargeable [0021], the first service request message (DAN1) being forwarded unchanged to the service computer (DR) in the case of a toll-free service [0052, note: billing attribute cannot be found therefore signaling is cut through from the terminal and content server] [0066], and creation and transmission of the second service request message (DAN2) being prompted only in the case of a chargeable service [0052].

As for claim 4, Piponius shows the readdressing message returned is a redirect message (UAN) designed as prescribed by the hypertext transfer protocol **[0048]**.

As for claim 5, Piponius shows the readdressing message (UAN) contains, as identifier, an IP address (IP2) for the routing service computer (D-DR) [0048].

As for claim 6, Piponius shows the intermediate node (ZK) uses the first service request message (DAN1) to ascertain whether the requested service is chargeable by comparing a feature (URL) which describes the service in the service request message (DAN1) [0048] with a plurality of features which are stored at the intermediate node and are associated with chargeable services, and identifying the requested service as chargeable if there is a match [0048].

Art Unit: 2616

As for claim 7, Piponius shows the second service request message (DAN2) contains information about the service computer (DR) in the form of a URL address (URL), this URL address (URL) is transmitted to a translation node (DNS) [0047], the translation node (DNS) returns the IP address (IP1) associated with the URL address, and the routing service computer (D-DR) uses the IP address (IP1) of the service computer (DR) to request (DAN2) the chargeable service [0050].

As for claim 8, Piponius shows the routing service computer (D-DR) requests (DAN2 (IP2, URL)) the chargeable service by using the IP address (IP2) to address the service computer (DR), and using the URL address (URL) to select the chargeable service, which is to be provided by the service computer [0048].

As for claim 9, Piponius shows Identifier (IP2), creation of the charge message (GN) using the identifier (IP2) [0048] transferred with the service message (DN) to ascertain a charge tariff associated with the identifier [0051], the level of the charge being determined using the charge tariff [0051], but Piponius does not show involves the exchange (V) and information about the level of the charge being added to the charge message (GN). However Lowe shows involves the exchange (V) (figure 4, E.g. SMA) and information about the level of the charge being added to the charge message (GN) (Col. 5 line 34-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Charge system of Piponius with the exchange of Lowe in order notifies the user of the charges accrued.

Art Unit: 2616

the state of the s

As for claim 12, Piponius shows transferring the service message (DN) to the communication terminal (KEG) is followed by the intermediate node (ZK) creating a second charge message (GN2) [0056], which contains information about a blanket charge associated with the service. [0006]

As for claim 13, Piponius shows the charge message (GN) is transferred to a payment system (ZS) [0057], but does not shows from the exchange (V). However Lowe shows transmit from the exchange (Col. 5 34-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the charge system of Piponius with the exchange of Lowe in order to determine the cost of the service used.

As for claim 14, Piponius shows the translation node used is a domain statement server (DNS) [0050].

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long P. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-9740. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30 - 5:00 EST Alternate Friday 7:30-4:00 EST.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Long Nguyen

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600



1

Substitute for form 1449/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

. (Use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 1 of

Complete if Known				
Application Number	10/812,396			
Filing Date	March 30, 2004			
First Named Inventor	Jürgen FOCKE			
Art Unit	2616			
Examiner Name	C. H. Pham			
Attorney Docket Number	449122069700			

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
S	Cita	Foreign Patent Document	Publication	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines,	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Country Code ³ -Number ⁴ -Kind Code ⁵ (If known)	MIM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Τ°

*EXAMINER: Initial if information considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw fine through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the Indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Moreover by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²		
/L.N.	1.	Fielding, R. et al. (1999). "Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1," The Internet Engineering Task Force Request for Comments 2616:1-114.			

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw fine through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Examiner		Date	^7
Signature	/Long Nguyen/	Considered 09/05/20	
Signature	/Long reguyon/	Considered	

^{&#}x27;Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

PTO/SB/08a (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003, OMB 0651-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person Substitute for form 1449A/PTO					Complete if K	กคุ้งคิ	3)
				Application Number	10/812,396	0850	102 R
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	30.03.2004	SEP	#/
STA	TEMENT B	Y A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Jürgen Focke	12	\$
				Art Unit		WATER TO A	
	(use as many she	ets as i	necessary)	Examiner Name			
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	2003P01298 US	S/AND/SBY	44912 –2 0

			U.S. PATENT	OCUMENTS	
Examiner	C#0	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant
Initials *	Cite No.'	Number - Kind Code ² (if known)			Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
/I N /	1	U\$-2002/0138601 A1	09-26-2002	Piponius et al.	
	Ī	US-			
		U\$-			
		US-			
		US-			<u> </u>
	1	US-		-	1

	<u> </u>	Foreign Patent Document		Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Country Code ³ - Number ⁴ - Kind Code ⁶ (<i>if known</i>)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	l ™
/L.N./	2	EP 0 924 630 A1	06-23-1999	More Magic Software MMS OY		x
	3	JP 2000253073 A	09-14-00	Toshiba Corp.	Abstract	
	4	WO 01/31886 A2	05-03-2001	NO-MADIX Inc.		X
\mathbf{V}	5	WO 01/82549 A2	11-01-2001	IP-Control GmbH	Abstract	
						<u> </u>
		 				

Examiner Signature	/Long Nguyen/	Date Considered	09/05/2007

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered, include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. 3 Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3), 4 For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 18 if possible. 6 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. D NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. 22313-1450.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FOCKE, JURGEN Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2002/0138601	09-2002	Piponius et al.	709/223
*	В	US-6,539,082	03-2003	Lowe et al.	379/114.28
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N			9		
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т	·				

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office act ion. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classif ications may be US or foreign.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

Empfänger/Firma unter der angegebenen Anschnift nicht zu ermitteln unter der angegebenen Anschnift nicht zu ermitteln zu willigung zu Weitergabe der Démenage neuen Anschnift liegt nicht vor.

Annahme verweigert Befuse Nicht abgeholt Non réclamé
Nicht zulässig Non réclamé
Nicht zulässig Non admis

NOV 2 0 2007

